

IN THE COURT OF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE MELUR

Present : Tmt. A.Jeyanthi. M.L.,
Judicial Magistrate, Melur

Dated this the 05th day of August 2020, Wednesday

CrI.M.P.No.678/2020

In

Crime No.1040/2020

Pandi (aged 65 years)

S/o. Beeman

....Petitioner /Accused

//Vs//

State through Inspector of Police,

Keelavalavu Police Station,

Madurai District.

(Cr.No. 1040/2020)

.... Respondent/ Complainant

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Mr.S.Nainan, Advocate for the petitioner and the learned Assistant Public Prosecutor for the respondent and after hearing both sides over phone, this court passed the following :-

ORDER

This order of this court finally disposed the bail petition filed under section 437 Cr.P.C . Due to national lockdown for COVID 19 virus pandemic, this petitioner/accused has filed this bail application through drop box before this court. The learned Assistant Public Prosecutor also filed reply through e-mail. The respondent police also filed reply

Heard both sides through Whatsapp video conference call.The alleged offence are u/s.294(b), 323, 324, 506(ii) of IPC, r/w. 4 of T.N.P.H.W Act. The defacto complainant and other were attacked by this petitioner along with other accused and also intimidated them.

The learned petitioner side counsel argued that the petitioner was remanded on judicial custody on 27/07/2020 and he has been in judicial custody for the past 10 days. The petitioner was falsely implicated in this case. He is no way connected in the case. The accused has been co-operative in investigation.He has family and roots in the village.

The Learned APP has argued that if the Petitioner/Accused is released on bail he may repeats the offence or commits some other offence, he may abscond from such place investigation not yet completed and the accused may tamper the investigation and strongly objected to release the accused on bail. This makes him a threat to society and his bail application should be rejected.

This Court is of the considered opinion that no further purpose will be served by keeping the accused in custody. In this case, the accused has family, permanent residence in the village. He is not at flight risk. On considering the facts and circumstances of the case, that the accused is a senior citizen, further considering the recent COVID 19 pandemic situation and in the interest of justice this court is of the considered opinion that no benefit will come of keeping the accused in further custody.

Hence this court is inclined to enlarge the accused on bail on the following conditions:

1) The petitioner must execute a bond for Rs.10,000/- before the concerned Superintendent of Prison.

2) The petitioner has to execute a fresh bond for a sum of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties for like sum to the satisfaction of this court within 10 working days from the lifting of the lockdown.

3) The petitioner is directed to appear before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

4) The accused has to appear and sign before the respondent Police Station at 10.00 A.M for four weeks from lifting of lockdown.

Typed by me directly in my laptop, corrected and pronounced by me on the 05th day of August 2020.

Sd/-A.Jeyanthi.

Judicial Magistrate
Melur.

//True copy//

Judicial Magistrate
Melur.

To
The Petitioner through the counsel.
The concerned prison

